#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
			Email - January 15, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, H. Drago, C.	· ·		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Day, E. MacKnight, N. Rivera, M. Peck, K. Hensley and K. Schepel at EPA.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_0115_Richardson to		Subject: RE: Hall Brother's Request for Reconsideration of the Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
1	Sincock.pdf	1/15/2015	Nutrient TMDL.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		, -,			,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2015 0127 Sincock to		Email - January 27, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA.	Fmail		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
2		1/27/2015	Subject: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
<u> </u>	Drago.pu.	1,2.,2013	Email - January 27, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA.	correspondence		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	EPA Response to Hall Request for		Subject: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Indian Creek TMDL		Attachment: EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
2		1/27/2015	Reconsideration draft.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
_	Neconsideration_draft.docx	1/2//2013	Neconsideration_draft.docx	Attacriment	+	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - January 27, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
			, ,	Fil	Attachment	I	
1,	Table 7 rade visy	1/27/2015	Subject: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration.	Email Attachment	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
4	Table5-7_redo.xlsx	1/2//2015	Attachment: Table5-7_redo.xlsx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1	[:  2015 0123 B		Frail January 20, 2015 fram II Draw of FDA to 1 Charles of C	F		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
L	Email_2015_0128_Drago to	4 /20 /2015	Email - January 28, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock and C. Day at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
5	Sincock.pdf	1/28/2015	Subject: RE: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - January 28, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock and C. Day at EPA.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	EPA Response to Hall Request for		Subject: RE: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Indian Creek TMDL		Attachment: EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
6	Reconsideration_draft hd.docx	1/28/2015	Reconsideration_draft hd.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_0128_Day to		Email - January 28, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago and J. Sincock at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
7	Drago.pdf	1/28/2015	Subject: RE: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - March 5, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, J. Curtin, H. Drago and			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_0305_Sincock to		E. MacKnight at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL: Draft Response	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
8	Day.pdf	3/5/2015	Letter to Hall/TBA.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - March 5, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, J. Curtin, H. Drago and				
1	Hall Letter to		E. MacKnight at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL: Draft Response				
1	Capacasa_Reconsideration		Letter to Hall/TBA. Attachment: Hall Letter to Capacasa_Reconsideration	Email	Attachment		Document released. See
9	Request_122314.pdf	3/5/2015	Request_122314.pdf	Attachment	1	No	Second Release Line #90.
1			Email - March 5, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, J. Curtin, H. Drago and				
	Letter_Telford to		E. MacKnight at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL: Draft Response	Email	Attachment		Document Released. See
10	. – .	3/5/2015	Letter to Hall/TBA. Attachment: Letter_Telford to Capacasa_081214.pdf	Attachment	2	No	Second Release Line #89.
	EPA Response to Hall Request for		Email - March 5, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, J. Curtin, H. Drago and			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1	Indian Creek TMDL		E. MacKnight at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL: Draft Response			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
1	Reconsideration_draft_03.05.15.		Letter to Hall/TBA. Attachment: EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
11	docx	3/5/2015	Creek TMDL Reconsideration_draft_03.05.15.docx	Attachment	3	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1			Email - March 25, 2015 from J. Curtin at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, L. Schroer,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
1	Email_2015_0325_Curtin to		C. Lewicki and M. Martinez at EPA. Subject: Draft R3 response to Hall's latest	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
12		3/25/2015	Indian Creek TMDL reconsideration request.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			·				
1	EPA Response to Hall Request for		Email - March 25, 2015 from J. Curtin at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, L. Schroer,			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL		C. Lewicki and M. Martinez at EPA. Subject: Draft R3 response to Hall's latest			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
1	Reconsideration_draft_03 05		Indian Creek TMDL reconsideration request. Attachment: EPA Response to	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
13		3/25/2015		Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		,	1 , and an area area area area area area area a	1		p compared to the compared to	1

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 1 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - March 27, 2015 from J. Curtin at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincock, E.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_0327_Curtin to		MacKnight, C. Day, C. Lewicki, M. Martinez and L. Schroer at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
14		3/27/2015	Draft R3 response to Hall's latest Indian Creek TMDL reconsideration request.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	9 1		i i	·	, ·	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_0826_Day to		Email - August 26, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincock and W.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
15		8/26/2015	Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Watershed TMDL.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	2.180.61.	-,,			,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - September 3, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, C.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_0903_Richardson to		Day, J. Sincock and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek TMDL - call	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
16		9/3/2015	with Steve Hann (Lower Salford).	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	Wideking it. par	3/3/2013	Email - September 3, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, C.	correspondence	Timury	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Day, J. Sincock and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek TMDL - call				
	PPT Nutrient Assessment		with Steve Hann (Lower Salford). Attachment: PPT Nutrient Assessment	Email	Attachment		Document Released. See
17		9/3/2015	Protocol WRAC 02-18-2015.pdf	Attachment	1	No	Second Release Line #100.
17	P1010C01 WRAC 02-18-2013.pu1	9/3/2013	P1010C01 WNAC 02-10-2015:pul	Attaciment	1		Second Release Line #100.
			Email - November 2, 2015 from 1 Sincesk to 4 Drago E MacKnight W			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2015 1103 Sincapleta		Email - November 3, 2015 from J. Sincock to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W.	Email		1	
10	Email_2015_1103_Sincock to	11/2/2015	Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact	Email	Drimor	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
18	Drago.pdf	11/3/2015	Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment.	Correspondence	rimary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - November 3, 2015 from J. Sincock to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W.				Desument Released Con
			Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact	F			Document Released. See
	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_M		Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment. Attachment:	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #2 of main
19	ethod_Draft_2015.pdf	11/3/2015	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Method_Draft_2015.pdf	Attachment	1	No	index.
			Email - November 3, 2015 from J. Sincock to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W.				
			Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact				Document Released. See
	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Te		Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment. Attachment:	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #14 & #16
20	chnical_August_2015_Final.pdf	11/3/2015	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Technical_August_2015_Final.pdf	Attachment	2	No	of main index.
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - November 4, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1104_Sincock to		MacKnight, W. Richardson, M. Peck, and N. Rivera at EPA. Subject: RE: PADEP			predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
21	Day.pdf	11/4/2015	Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - November 4, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, H.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1104_Richardson to		Drago, E. MacKnight, M. Peck, and N. Rivera at EPA. Subject: RE: PADEP	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
22	Sincock.pdf	11/4/2015	Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - November 4, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, H.				
			Drago, E. MacKnight, M. Peck, and N. Rivera at EPA. Subject: RE: PADEP				
	Cooks Wissahick on Diel DO Compar		Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment.	Email	Attachment		Document Released. See
23	isonApril2013Summary.xlsx	11/4/2015	Attachment: CooksWissahickonDielDOComparisonApril2013Summary.xlsx	Attachment	1	No	Second Release Line #103.
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - November 5, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, H.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1105_Richardson to		Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincock, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
24	MacKnight.pdf	11/5/2015	comments on PA assessment methodology.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - November 5, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, H.		1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincock, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	EPA comments on PA 2016		comments on PA assessment methodology. Attachment: EPA comments on	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
25	assessment methodologies.docx	11/5/2015	PA 2016 assessment methodologies.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - November 5, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to W. Richardson, E. MacKnight,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1105_Day to		H. Drago, A. Toy, J. Sincock, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
26		11/5/2015	comments on PA assessment methodology.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			-			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - November 10, 2015 from E. MacKnight at EPA to W. Richardson, H.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1110_MacKnight to		Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincock, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
27		11/10/2015	comments on PA assessment methodology.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
۷,	menarason.pur	11/10/2013	pominents on the assessment methodology.	Correspondence	i i ii ii ii y	parsault to Exemption 3.	l

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
			Email - November 10, 2015 from E. MacKnight at EPA to W. Richardson, H.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	EPA comments on PA 2016		Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincock, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	assessment methodologies		comments on PA assessment methodology. Attachment: EPA comments on	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
	_	11/10/2015	PA 2016 assessment methodologies esm.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
$\overline{}$						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - November 12, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, H.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1112_Richardson to		Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincock, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
		11/12/2015	comments on PA assessment methodology.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
-	· .		Email - November 12, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, H.	, , , , , ,		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	EPA comments on PA 2016		Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincock, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	assessment methodologies esm		comments on PA assessment methodology. Attachment: EPA comments on	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11/12/2015	PA 2016 assessment methodologies esm BR V2.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		, ,				Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - November 12, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to W. Richardson at EPA.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2015 1112 Sincock to		Subject: RE: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
		11/12/2015	public comment.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		,,,			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1125_Sincock to		Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
		11/25/2015	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
32	Diago.pui	11/23/2013	Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.	correspondence	Filliary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL	Email	Attachmon+	1	
		11/25/2015	Second Reconsideration draft.docx	Attachment	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
33	neconsideration_draft.docx	11/25/2015	-	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft	Email	Attach ·-·	deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
,	Attachment A. Characher	11/25/2015	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment A -	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
34	Attachment A - Chronology.docx	11/25/2015	Chronology.docx	Attachment	4	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.				
			Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft			Vos this document is an inter-	
			Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Email - November 3, 2015			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	This amail and attackers
	Fmoil 2015 1102 Sizzzzlitz		from J. Sincock to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck	Email	A + + 0 ch	deliberations and/or conclusions which are	This email and attachments
	Email_2015_1103_Sincock to	11/2/2015	at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out		Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	are duplicates of Line #18-20
35	Drago.pdf	11/3/2015	for public comment.	Attachment	3	pursuant to Exemption 5.	above.
			Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.				
			Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft				
			Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Email - November 3, 2015				
			from J. Sincock to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck				
			at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out		l		Document Released. See
	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_M	44/2/2015	for public comment. Attachment:	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #2 of main
36	ethod_Draft_2015.pdf	11/3/2015	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Method_Draft_2015.pdf	Attachment	4	No	index.
			Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.				
			Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft				
			Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Email - November 3, 2015				
			from J. Sincock to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck				
			at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out				Document Released. See
	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Te		for public comment. Attachment:	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #14 & #16
37	chnical_August_2015_Final.pdf	11/3/2015	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Technical_August_2015_Final.pdf	Attachment	5	No	of main index.
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - November 27, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, H. Drago,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
ľ	Email_2015_1127_Richardson to		C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
38	Sincock.pdf	11/27/2015	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			1	i	I	Litter and the state of the sta	i .
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1130_Sincock to		Email - November 30, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day at EPA. Subject:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 3 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1201_Hensley to		Email - December 1, 2015 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
40	Sincock.pdf	12/1/2015	Indian Creek land use maps.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - December 1, 2015 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	IndianCreek_LandUseMaps_120		Indian Creek land use maps. Attachment:	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
41	115.pdf	12/1/2015	IndianCreek_LandUseMaps_120115.pdf	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - December 2, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, K.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1202_Drago to		Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
42	Sincock.pdf	12/2/2015	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - December 2, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, K.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
43	Reconsideration_drafthd.docx	12/2/2015	Second Reconsideration_drafthd.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1204_Sincock to		Email - December 4, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, W. Richardson,	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
44	Drago.pdf	12/4/2015	C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek.	Correspondence		pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		· ·				Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - December 4, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincock,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1204_Richardson to		C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
45	Drago.pdf	12/4/2015	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - December 4, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincock,			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_drafthd		Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
46	BR.docx	12/4/2015	Reconsideration_drafthd BR.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - December 7, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to W. Richardson, H. Drago, J.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1207_Day to		Sincock, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian			predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
47	Richardson.pdf	12/7/2015	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - December 7, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to W. Richardson, H. Drago, J.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Sincock, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_drafthd BR-		Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
48	CAD.docx	12/7/2015	Reconsideration_drafthd BR-CAD.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	_ ,,			L		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2015_1211_Richardson to		Email - December 11, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to H. Drago and J.	Email	<u>.</u> .	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
49	Drago.pdf	12/11/2015	Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE: PA nutrient cause.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Freel Describer 14 2015 from H. Dress et FDA to C. Dev. W. Billion I.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Fmail 2015 1214 December		Email - December 14, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, W. Richardson, J.	Email		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
F0	Email_2015_1214_Drago to	12/14/2015	Sincock, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian	Email	Drimor	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
50	Day.pdf	12/14/2015	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	Indian Coal-TMP! Second		Email - December 14, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, W. Richardson, J.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Sincock, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian	Email	Attachmant	deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
F1	Reconsideration_drafthd BR-CAD	12/14/2015	Creek Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
51	hd.docx	12/14/2015	Reconsideration_drafthd BR-CAD hd.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Fmail December 14 2015 from C Dougt FDA to 11 Doggo M. Bish of the state of			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Email 2015 1214 Day to		Email - December 14, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago, W. Richardson, J.	Email		deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
E2	Email_2015_1214_Day to	12/14/2015	Sincock, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email	Drimar:	l,	
52	Drago.pdf	12/14/2015	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Fmail 2016 0201 Handsut-		Email February 1 2016 from K Handay at FDA to I Singarli at FDA Subject	Email		·	
E 2	Email_2016_0201_Hensley to	2/1/2016	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Email	Drimar:	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
53	Sincock.pdf	2/1/2010	More maps - Indian Creek.	Correspondence	FIIIII ai y	pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	ReferenceWatershedLocations.jp		Email - February 1, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
54	· '	2/1/2016	More maps - Indian Creek. Attachment: ReferenceWatershedLocations.jpg	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - February 1, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	IndianCreek nutrient reconsider		More maps - Indian Creek. Attachment:	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
55	ation gauges.jpg	2/1/2016	IndianCreek nutrient reconsideration gauges.jpg	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0205_Sincock to		Email - February 5, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
56		2/5/2016	EPA. Subject: Indian Creek map with gauges.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	, ,		Email - February 5, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at		,	·	Document Released. See
	679276 Quakertown Jul to Sep		EPA. Subject: Indian Creek map with gauges. Attachment:	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #49 of main
57		2/5/2016	679276_Quakertown Jul to Sep 2014.pdf	Attachment	1	No	index.
	•		Email - February 5, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at				Document Released. See
	679274_Souderton Jul to Sep		EPA. Subject: Indian Creek map with gauges. Attachment:	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #47 of main
58		2/5/2016	679274 Souderton Jul to Sep 2014.pdf	Attachment	2	No	index.
			Email - February 5, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at				Document Released. See
	IndianCreek_nutrient_reconsider		EPA. Subject: Indian Creek map with gauges. Attachment:	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #7 of GIS
59		2/5/2016	IndianCreek nutrient reconsideration gauges.jpg	Attachment	3	No	index.
	_0 0 110					Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0205 Richardson to		Email - February 5, 2016 from B. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
60		2/5/2016	Subject: RE: QA/QC for Indian Creek reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	·	· ·			<i>'</i>	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0208 Sincock to		Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
61		2/8/2016	Second Reconsideration v2.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	-0-1	, -,	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.		,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Second Reconsideration v2. Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
62		2/8/2016	Reconsideration draft v2.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	_		_			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
			Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
63	Attachment A - Chronology.docx	2/8/2016	Second Reconsideration v2. Attachment: Attachment A - Chronology.docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	2 2 30, 200		Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek		1	deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment B - Monitoring		Second Reconsideration v2. Attachment: Attachment B - Monitoring	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
64	_	2/8/2016	Data.docx	Attachment	3	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Attachment C - Cover Page -		Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek		1	deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	PADEP Draft Nutrient Impact		Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment C - Cover Page - PADEP	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
65	Assessment Protocol.docx	2/8/2016	Draft Nutrient Impact Assessment Protocol.docx	Attachment	4	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		·	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.			,	
	Attachment C -		Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek		1		Document Released. See
	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_M		Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment C -	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #2 of main
66		2/8/2016	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Method_Draft_2015.pdf	Attachment	5	No	index.
			Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Attachment D - Cover Page -		Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	PADEP NIA Protocol Technical		Second Reconsideration v2. Attachment: Attachment D - Cover Page - PADEP	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
67		2/8/2016	NIA Protocol Technical Documentation.docx	Attachment	6	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		, -,	1	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1.	It and the second secon	I

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 5 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
			Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K.				
	Attachment D -		Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek				Document Released. See
	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Te		Second Reconsideration v2. Attachment: Attachment D -	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #14 & #16
68	chnical_August_2015_Final.pdf	2/8/2016	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Technical_August_2015_Final.pdf	Attachment	7	No	of main index.
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0209_Hensley to		Email - February 9, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
69	Sincock.pdf	2/9/2016	RE: Indian Creek map with gauges.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - February 9, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:				Document Released. See
	IndianCreek_nutrient_largescale		RE: Indian Creek map with gauges. Attachment:	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #5 of GIS
70	_allgages.jpg	2/9/2016	IndianCreek_nutrient_largescale_allgages.jpg	Attachment	1	No	index.
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - February 9, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, K.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0209_Drago to		Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
71	Sincock.pdf	2/9/2016	Second Reconsideration v2.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - February 9, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, K.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Attachment D - Cover Page -		Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	PADEP NIA Protocol Technical		Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment D - Cover Page - PADEP	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
72	Documentationhd.docx	2/9/2016	NIA Protocol Technical Documentationhd.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - February 9, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, K.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment A -		Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
73	Chronologyhd.docx	2/9/2016	Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment A - Chronologyhd.docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - February 9, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, K.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment B - Monitoring Data		Second Reconsideration v2. Attachment: Attachment B - Monitoring Data	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
74	hd.docx	2/9/2016	hd.docx	Attachment	3	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0210_Sincock to		Email - February 10, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
75	Drago.pdf	2/10/2016	EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0210_Day to		Email - February 10, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago and J. Sincock at	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
76	Drago.pdf	2/10/2016	EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - February 10, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0210_Sincock to		MacKnight and K. Hensley at EPA . Subject: FW: Indian Creek map with	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
77	Day.pdf	2/10/2016	gauges.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - February 10, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E.	L			Document Released. See
L	IndianCreek_nutrient_largescale	0 /4 0 /0 - : -	MacKnight and K. Hensley at EPA . Subject: FW: Indian Creek map with	Email	Attachment	l	Initial Release Line #5 of GIS
78	_allgages.jpg	2/10/2016	gauges. Attachment: IndianCreek_nutrient_largescale_allgages.jpg	Attachment	1	No	index.
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	_ ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Email - February 10, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock, H. Drago, K.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0210_Day to	0/10/07:-	Hensley, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
79	Sincock.pdf	2/10/2016	Second Reconsideration v2.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - February 10, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock, H. Drago, K.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Hensley, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek	L		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft v2-	0/40/07:-	Second Reconsideration v2. Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
80	CAD.docx	2/10/2016	Reconsideration_draft v2-CAD.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - February 11, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincock, K.	L		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0211_Drago to	0/44/0-:-	Hensley, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
81	Day.pdf	2/11/2016	Second Reconsideration v2.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 6 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
			Email - February 11, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincock, K.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Hensley, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Second Reconsideration v2. Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
82	Reconsideration draft v2hd.docx	2/11/2016	Reconsideration draft v2hd.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	_	· · ·				Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - February 11, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, J.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0211 Richardson to		Sincock, K. Hensley and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
83		2/11/2016	Second Reconsideration v2.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
03	Drugo.pui	2/11/2010	Second neconsideration vz.	correspondence	Timury	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - February 18, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincock, E.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0218 Drago to		MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek Second	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
84		2/18/2016	Reconsideration v2.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
04	Day.pui	2/16/2010	Reconsideration vz.	Correspondence	Filliary	i ·	
			Frank Fahrusen 10 2016 frank II Drana at FDA to I Since de C Day F			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	_ ,, , ,		Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, E.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0219_Drago to	0/10/0016	MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek Second	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
85	Sincock.pdf	2/19/2016	Reconsideration v2.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
1						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1				l		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0219_Drago to		Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincock, C. Day	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
86	Curtin.pdf	2/19/2016	and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincock, C. Day			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft v2hd		and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
87	cd.docx	2/19/2016	Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	Letter to J Capacasa and L						
	McDonnell RE Indian Creek		Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincock, C. Day				
	Nutrient TMDL - Request for		and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.				
	TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-		Attachment: Letter to J Capacasa and L McDonnell RE Indian Creek Nutrient	Email	Attachment		Document Released. See
88	14.pdf	2/19/2016	TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	Attachment	2	No	Second Release Line #94.
	Exhibits 1-3 - Indian Creek	, -,	Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincock, C. Day				
	Nutrient TMDL - Request for		and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.				
	TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-		Attachment: Exhibits 1-3 - Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL	Email	Attachment		Document Released. See
89		2/19/2016	Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	Attachment	3	No	Second Release Line #95.
	p-m1	_,,	The state of the s	cacciic	-	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	Total necess time 1135.
1						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
1	Email 2016 0219 Curtin to		Email - February 19, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincock, C. Day	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
90		2/19/2016	and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
90	οι αξο.ραι	2/ 13/ 2010	and E. Mackinghi, at EFA. Subject. NE. Indian creek Second Reconsideration.	correspondence	i i i i i i i i i i	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1	Fmoil 2016 0200 D		Email March 9 2016 from H. Drogo at EDA to J. Contin. I. Cinesal, C. D.	Email		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
01	Email_2016_0308_ Drago to	2/9/2016	Email - March 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincock, C. Day and	Email	Drimor	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
91	Curtin.pdf	3/8/2016	E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
1	Ludius Court That's		For the Advantage 2046 for the December 2014 of the State			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Email - March 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincock, C. Day and	L	l	deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
L_	Reconsideration_draft v2hd	- /- /	E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
92		3/8/2016	Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	Letter to J Capacasa and L						
	McDonnell RE Indian Creek		Email - March 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincock, C. Day and				
	Nutrient TMDL - Request for		E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.				
	TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-		Attachment: Letter to J Capacasa and L McDonnell RE Indian Creek Nutrient	Email	Attachment		Document Released. See
93	14.pdf	3/8/2016	TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	Attachment	2	No	Second Release Line #94.
	Exhibits 1-3 - Indian Creek		Email - March 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincock, C. Day and		1		
1	Nutrient TMDL - Request for		E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.				
1				1	1	I .	1
	TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-		Attachment: Exhibits 1-3 - Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL	Email	Attachment		Document Released. See
94		3/8/2016	Attachment: Exhibits 1-3 - Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment	No	Document Released. See Second Release Line #95.

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 7 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
				·		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - March 15, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, J. Havard, C.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0315_Curtin to		Lewicki, and L. Schroer at EPA . Subject: Draft R3 Denial of Hall Request to	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
95		3/15/2016	Reconsider Indian Creek TMDL.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	.,,,,,		Email - March 15, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, J. Havard, C.		,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Lewicki, and L. Schroer at EPA . Subject: Draft R3 Denial of Hall Request to			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft v2hd		Reconsider Indian Creek TMDL. Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
96	_	3/15/2016	Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
-	ea_e.aeex	0, 10, 2010		, recooning	-	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0315_Sincock to		Email - March 15, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day and H. Drago at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
97		3/15/2016	Subject: RE: Draft R3 Denial of Hall Request to Reconsider Indian Creek TMDL.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
-	Day.pai	3/13/2010	Subject. RE: Draft RS Definal of Hall Request to Reconsider Indian creek TWDE.	Correspondence	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0317_Sincock to		Email - March 17, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
98		3/17/2016	Subject: Indian Creek Corrected Table 5-7 for reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
90	Drago.pur	3/17/2010	Subject. Illulail Creek Corrected Table 5-7 for Teconsideration.	Correspondence	Filliary		
			Empil March 17, 2016 from L Sincock at EDA to LL Drago and C Day at EDA			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1			Email - March 17, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA.	Email	Attack · ·	deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
00	C	2/47/2046	Subject: Indian Creek Corrected Table 5-7 for reconsideration. Attachment:	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
99	Corrected Table 5-7.docx	3/17/2016	Corrected Table 5-7.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0321_Sincock to		Email - March 21, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
100	Hensley.pdf	3/21/2016	EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions?	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Email - March 21, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft v2hd		EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions? Attachment:	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
101	cd_jc.docx	3/21/2016	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0321_Drago to		Email - March 21, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
102	Sincock.pdf	3/21/2016	reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0324_Sincock to		Email - March 24, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and K. Hensley at	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
103	Drago.pdf	3/24/2016	EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions?	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - March 24, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and K. Hensley at				Document Released. See
	IndianCreek_nutrient_reconsider		EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions?	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #6 of GIS
104	ation.jpg	3/24/2016	Attachment: IndianCreek_nutrient_reconsideration.jpg	Attachment	1	No	index.
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
1	Email_2016_0324_Drago to		Email - March 24, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock and K. Hensley at	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
105	Sincock.pdf	3/24/2016	EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions?	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
1	Email_2016_0404_Sincock to		Email - April 4, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
106	Drago.pdf	4/4/2016	Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
					<u> </u>	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - April 4, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
1	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3. Attachment: Indian Creek	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
107		4/4/2016	TMDL Second Reconsideration draft v3.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Email - April 4, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
1	Reconsideration_draft v2hd		Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3. Attachment: Indian Creek	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
108		4/4/2016	TMDL Second Reconsideration draft v2hd cd jc hd mjs.docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	3	., .,		1	1-	In a second control of	1

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 8 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0404_Drago to		Email - April 4, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock and C. Day at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
109	Sincock.pdf	4/4/2016	Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0411_Day to		Email - April 11, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago and J. Sincock at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
110		4/11/2016	Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	0 1		1 '	'	, ·	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - April 28, 2016 (a) from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, M. Martinez, J.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0428_a_Drago to		Sincock, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
111		4/28/2016	3.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	·			· ·	,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - April 28, 2016 (b) from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, M. Martinez, J.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0428_b_Drago to		Sincock, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version	Fmail		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
112		4/28/2016	3		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		., 20, 2010				Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - April 28, 2016 (b) from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, M. Martinez, J.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Sincock, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version	Fmail	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
113		4/28/2016	Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v3.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
113	neconsideration_urant vs.uocx	7/ 20/ 2010	Email - April 28, 2016 (b) from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, M. Martinez, J.	Attacimient	-	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Sincock, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
			3. Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration draft v2hd cd jc	Email	Attachment	1	
111	Reconsideration_draft v2hd	4/20/2016			Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
114	cd_jc hd_mjs.docx	4/28/2016	hd_mjs.docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Free! And 20 2046 for the Control EDA to the Decree Ad Administration			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - April 28, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to H. Drago, M. Martinez, J.	F		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0428_Curtin to	. /0.0 /0.0 . 5	Sincock, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version			predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
115	Drago.pdf	4/28/2016	3.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - May 2, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincock, H. Drago, J.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0502_Curtin to		Havard, C. Lewicki, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
116	Day.pdf	5/2/2016	Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - May 2, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincock, H. Drago, J.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Havard, C. Lewicki, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft		Reconsideration. Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
117	v3_JC.docx	5/2/2016	Reconsideration_draft v3_JC.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0517_Sincock to		Email - May 17, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to J. Saxe at EPA. Subject: FW:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
118	Saxe.pdf	5/17/2016	Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek TMDL Second		Email - May 17, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to J. Saxe at EPA. Subject: FW:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft		Indian Creek Reconsideration. Attachment: Indian Creek TMDL Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
119	v3_JC.DOCX	5/17/2016	Reconsideration_draft v3_JC.DOCX	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Email - May 17, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to J. Saxe at EPA. Subject: FW:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and		Indian Creek Reconsideration. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
120		5/17/2016	Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and hd .docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0520_Saxe to		Email - May 20, 2016 from J. Saxe at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
121		5/20/2016	Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	·			,	<b>'</b>	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Email - May 20, 2016 from J. Saxe at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and		Indian Creek Reconsideration. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
122		5/20/2016	Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and hd and JPS.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		-,,	1	1	1	Dr. com a se enembranen en	1

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0524_Day to		Email - May 24, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock, H. Drago and J. Saxe at	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
123		5/24/2016	EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	·		Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E.	·	,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0527_Sincock to		EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
124		5/27/2016	requested by COB 6/10/16.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	, .		Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E.	·	,		
			MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft		requested by COB 6/10/16. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
125	_	5/27/2016	Reconsideration draft v5 052716.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	_		Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E.			·	
			MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment A -		requested by COB 6/10/16. Attachment: Attachment A	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
126		5/27/2016	Chronology_052716.docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E.				
			MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment B - Monitoring		requested by COB 6/10/16. Attachment: Attachment B - Monitoring	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
127	_	5/27/2016	Data 052716.docx	Attachment	3	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	_		Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E.			'	
			MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and		requested by COB 6/10/16. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
128		5/27/2016	Reconsideration draft v4 JC and hd and JPS mjs.docx	Attachment	4	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	= ,					Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - May 31, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0531_Drago to		Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
129		5/31/2016	COB 6/10/16.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - May 31, 2016 from C. Lewicki at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, E.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0531_Lewicki to		EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
130	Sincock.pdf	5/31/2016	Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
					· ·	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - May 31, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Lewicki at EPA. Subject: RE:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0531_Sincock to		Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
131		5/31/2016	COB 6/10/16.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - May 31, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock, H. Drago and J. Saxe at			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0531_Day to		EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
132		5/31/2016	Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - June 7, 2016 from C. Lewicki at EPA to J. Saxe, H. Drago, J. Havard, M.		,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Martinez, R. Conde, J. Sincock, C. Day, E. MacKnight, and J. Curtin at EPA.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0607_Lewicki to		Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
133	Saxe.pdf	6/7/2016	requested by COB 6/10/16.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
					,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - June 7, 2016 from J. Saxe at EPA to C. Lewicki and J. Sincock at EPA.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0607_Saxe to		Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
134		6/7/2016	requested by COB 6/10/16.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
					<u> </u>	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - June 7, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Saxe, J. Sincock, H. Drago, and J.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0607 Day to		Curtin at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
135		6/7/2016	Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
200	pu-	-, -, -010	122	1 - 3 coponacnec	1 ,	In a company of	ı

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 10 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
			Email - June 7, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Saxe, J. Sincock, H. Drago, and J.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Curtin at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft		Comments requested by COB 6/10/16. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
136	v5_052716-CAD.docx	6/7/2016	Reconsideration_draft v5_052716-CAD.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - June 7, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock and C. Day at EPA.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0607_Drago to		Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
137		6/7/2016	requested by COB 6/10/16.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
				'	, ·	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - June 7, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, J. Saxe, J. Sincock, H.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0607_Curtin to		Drago, J. Havard, and C. Lewicki at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Second	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
138		6/7/2016	Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
100	24,154.	0,7,2020	Email - June 7, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, J. Saxe, J. Sincock, H.	correspondence		parsuant to Exemption 5:	
			Drago, J. Havard, and C. Lewicki at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Second			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
				Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
120	Reconsideration_draft	C /7 /201 C	Attachment: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v5_052716-		Attacriment	i.	
139	v5_052716-CAD.docx	6/7/2016	CAD.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Fresil June 0 2016 from 1 Continue FDA to C Louislaid NA MARKETTO			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - June 9, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Lewicki, M. Martinez, J.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
l	Email_2016_0609_Curtin to	5 (0 (0 0 1 5	Havard, C. Day, J. Saxe, J. Sincock and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek			predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
140		6/9/2016	reconsideration - Curtin comments/edits.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	Indian Creek Second		Email - June 9, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Lewicki, M. Martinez, J.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Reconsideration_draft		Havard, C. Day, J. Saxe, J. Sincock and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	v5_052716-JC comments		reconsideration - Curtin comments/edits. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
141	6.9.16.docx	6/9/2016	Reconsideration_draft v5_052716-JC comments 6.9.16.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - June 14, 2016 (a) from C. Lewicki at EPA to D. Thomas, C. Day, J. Saxe,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0614_a_Lewicki to		and J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: Lewicki, Chris has shared 'Indian Creek 2nd	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
142	Thomas.pdf	6/14/2016	Reconsideration052716-WB OGC'.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - June 14, 2016 (a) from C. Lewicki at EPA to D. Thomas, C. Day, J. Saxe,			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek 2nd		and J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: Lewicki, Chris has shared 'Indian Creek 2nd			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration052716-WB		Reconsideration052716-WB OGC'. Attachment: Indian Creek 2nd	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
143		6/14/2016	Reconsideration052716-WB OGC.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - June 14, 2016 (b) from C. Lewicki at EPA to D. Thomas, J. Saxe, H.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Drago, J. Havard, M. Martinez, J. Sincock, C. Day, E. MacKnight, and J. Curtin			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0614_b_Lewicki to		at EPA. Subject: RE: For Jennie and Dana: Watershed Branch's and OGC	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
144		6/14/2016	comments on Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		-,, -020			,,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - June 15, 2016 from C. Lewicki at EPA to J. Saxe, H. Drago, J. Havard, M.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0615_Lewicki to		Martinez, J. Sincock, C. Day, E. MacKnight, and J. Curtin at EPA. Subject: OST	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
145		6/15/2016	is fine with R3's Indian Creek Reconsideration.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
143	Jane.pui	0, 13, 2010	13 THE WILLIAM 3 HIGHAIT CLEEK NECOLISIDERATION.	correspondence	i i i i i i ai y	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			Email June 20, 2016 from J. Sincock at EBA to V. Handley C. Day E			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	F		Email - June 30, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Hensley, C. Day, E.	Eil		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
140	Email_2016_0630_Sincock to	6/20/2016	MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Figure updates for Indian	Email	Drimo	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
146	Hensley.pdf	6/30/2016	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	rimary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0705_Hensley to		Email - July 5, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
147	Sincock.pdf	7/5/2016	Figure updates for Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - July 5, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE:				Document Released. See
	Halls_NutrientSampling_Maps_0		Figure updates for Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u>	Email	Attachment		Initial Release Line #2 of GIS
148	7-05.pptx	7/5/2016	Halls_NutrientSampling_Maps_07-05.pptx	Attachment	1	No	index.
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0707_Day to		Email - July 7, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
149		7/7/2016	Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
149		7/7/2016			Primary	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 11 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
				·		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0707_Sincock to		Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight,	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
150		7/7/2016	and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	, ·		,	i i	<u> </u>	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment A -		and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6.	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
151		7/7/2016	Attachment: Attachment A - Chronology_052716.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	5/2		072			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment C - Monitoring		and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6.	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
152	Data 070716.docx	7/7/2016	Attachment: Attachment C - Monitoring Data_070716.docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	_		<u> </u>			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment B - Indian Creek		and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6.	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
153		7/7/2016	Attachment: Attachment B - Indian Creek Precipitation Data_070716.pdf	Attachment	3	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek 2nd		Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration052716-WB		and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6.	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
154		7/7/2016	Attachment: Indian Creek 2nd Reconsideration052716-WB OGC mjs.docx	Attachment	4	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	_ ,	. ,		1		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration draft		and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6.	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
155		7/7/2016	Attachment: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration draft v6 070716.docx	Attachment	5	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
100	10_07 07 1010 0X	.,,,2020	THE STATE OF CONTROL O	/ teadimient	1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0708_Sincock to		Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
156		7/8/2016	Second Reconsideration.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		., .,	Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W.		,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft		Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
157		7/8/2016	Reconsideration draft v6 070716.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		, -, -	Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment C - Monitoring		Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Attachment C - Monitoring	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
158		7/8/2016	Data_070716.docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		<u> </u>	Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment B - Indian Creek		Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Attachment B - Indian Creek	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
159		7/8/2016	Precipitation Data_070716.pdf	Attachment	3	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	,		Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment A -		Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Attachment A	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
160		7/8/2016	Chronology_052716.docx	Attachment	4	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	0,_					Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 11, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, K. Bentley, M.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0711_Richardson to		Barath, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
161		7/11/2016	Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	·		Email - July 11, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, K. Bentley, M.	, , , , , ,		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Barath, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration draft		Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
162		7/11/2016	Reconsideration_draft v6_070716 BR.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		. ,				Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 11, 2016 (a) from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincock, K. Bentley, W.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0711_a_Barath to		Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
163		7/11/2016	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		.,,	1-		1 ,	In a second control of	1

## FOIA Request EPA-R3-2017-000718 - Index of Withheld and Partial Release Records

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 11, 2016 (b) from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincock, K. Bentley, W.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0711_b_Barath to		Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
164		7/11/2016	Creek Second Reconsideration.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 11, 2016 (c) from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincock, K. Bentley, W.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0711_c_Barath to		Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
165	Sincock.pdf	7/11/2016	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 12, 2016 from K. Bentley at EPA to J. Sincock, M. Barath, W.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0712 Bentley to		Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
166	Sincock.pdf	7/12/2016	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - July 12, 2016 from K. Bentley at EPA to J. Sincock, M. Barath, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft		Creek Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
167	_	7/12/2016	Reconsideration_draft v6_070716 BRKB.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	_		Email - July 12, 2016 from K. Bentley at EPA to J. Sincock, M. Barath, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Helpful Citations for Indian Creek		Creek Second Reconsideration. Attachment: Helpful Citations for Indian	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
168	Reconsideration.docx	7/12/2016	Creek Reconsideration.docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		,, ==, ====			_	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0713 Barath to		Email - July 13, 2016 from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
169	Sincock.pdf	7/13/2016	Indian creek additional references.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	·			1	<u> </u>	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0714_Barath to		Email - July 14, 2016 from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
170		7/14/2016	more references.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	·			1		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - July 28, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0728 Sincock to		Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
171		7/28/2016	Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
					<u> </u>	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0728 Day to		Email - July 28, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
172	Sincock.pdf	7/28/2016	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	·			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0801_Day to		Email - August 1, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
173		8/1/2016	Indian Creek Reconsideration v6.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	·			,	,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Email - August 1, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE:			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration draft		Indian Creek Reconsideration v6. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
174	_	8/1/2016	Reconsideration draft v6 070716-CAD.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - August 5, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, C. Day, H.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0805 Sincock to		Drago, K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
175		8/5/2016	Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		-, -, -00	p		1	In a second of a second of	1

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 13 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
							Citation provided in
							References on Page 25 of
							Second Reconsideration:
							Hausmann, S., D.F. Charles, J.
							Gerritsen, and T.J. Belton.
							2016. "A diatom-based
							biological condition gradient
							(BCG) approach for assessing
			Email August F 2016 from I Singaply at FDA to F Mackinisht C Day II				
			Email - August 5, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, C. Day, H.				impairment and developing nutrient criteria for streams."
			Drago, K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject:	Email	Attachment		Science of the Total
176	Distant BCC 2016 and	0/5/2016	Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint. Attachment: Diatom BCG	Email	Attacriment	N.	
176	Diatom BCG 2016.pdf	8/5/2016	2016.pdf	Attachment	1	No	Environment 562:914-927.
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	5 11 0045 0007 5 11 1		Email - August 7, 2016 from K. Bentley at EPA to J. Sincock, E. MacKnight, C.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0807_Bentley to		Day, H. Drago, C. Atkinson, M. Barath, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
177	Sincock.pdf	8/7/2016	Subject: RE: Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
1						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1			Email - August 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock, K. Bentley, E.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0808_Drago to		MacKnight, C. Day, C. Atkinson, M. Barath, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
178	Sincock.pdf	8/8/2016	Subject: RE: Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
1						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - August 8, 2016 from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincock, E. MacKnight, C.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0808_Barath to		Day, H. Drago, K. Bentley, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
179	Sincock.pdf	8/8/2016	Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0812_Sincock to		Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
180	MacKnight.pdf	8/12/2016	Reconsideration Version 7.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft		Reconsideration Version 7. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
181	v7_081216.docx	8/12/2016	Reconsideration_draft v7_081216.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Indian Creek Second		Reconsideration Version 7. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
182	Reconsideration DR_Sig.docx	8/12/2016	Reconsideration DR_Sig.docx	Attachment	2	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W.		1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment A -		Reconsideration Version 7. Attachment: Attachment A -	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
183	Chronology_052716.docx	8/12/2016	Chronology_052716.docx	Attachment	3	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1			Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
1	Attachment B - Indian Creek		Reconsideration Version 7. Attachment: Attachment B - Indian Creek	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
184		8/12/2016	Precipitation Data_070716.pdf	Attachment	4	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
1			Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Attachment C - Monitoring		Reconsideration Version 7. Attachment: Attachment C - Monitoring	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
185	_	8/12/2016	Data_081216.docx	Attachment	5	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	Indian Creek Second	-	Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Reconsideration_draft		Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	v6 070716-combined edits BR		Reconsideration Version 7. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
186		8/12/2016	Reconsideration_draft v6_070716-combined edits BR KB CAD_mjs.docx	Attachment	6	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	_ ,	. ,		1	1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016 0812 Sincock to		Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to W. Richardson at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
187		8/12/2016	Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
10/	Michal USOIT	0, 12, 2010	Jangeot. NE. Jecona maian ereck neconsideration version 7.	correspondence	i i ii ii ii y	parsaunt to Exemption 5.	<u> </u>

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 14 of 16

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0816_Sincock to		Email - August 16, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago at EPA. Subject:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
		8/16/2016	RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	2.080.pu.	0, 10, 2010	The second material of each reconstruction version /	correspondence	, , , , ,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0816_Drago to		Email - August 16, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
		8/16/2016	RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.		Drimanı	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
103	Silicock.pui	8/10/2010	RE. Second indian creek reconsideration version 7.	Correspondence	Primary		
			Foreil Assessed 10, 2016 forms I Giovandi et FDA to W Coolin IV Biological F			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	F		Email - August 18, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Scalia. W. Richardson, E.	F:I		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0818_Sincock to	0/40/2046	MacKnight and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Second Reconsideration for the Indian	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
190	Scalia.pdf	8/18/2016	Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for Briefing with Jon.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0819_Richardson to		Email - August 19, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA.	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
191	Sincock.pdf	8/19/2016	Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - August 24, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, E.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0824_Richardson to		MacKnight, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA . Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
192	Sincock.pdf	8/24/2016	Reconsideration Version 7.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - August 24, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, E.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Indian Creek Second		MacKnight, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA . Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_draft		Reconsideration Version 7. Attachment: Indian Creek Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
193	v7_081216 BR.docx	8/24/2016	Reconsideration_draft v7_081216 BR.docx	Attachment	1	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
	_					Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
						deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0824_Day to		Email - August 24, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to W. Richardson and J. Sincock at	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
		8/24/2016	EPA. Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.		Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
		-,,			,	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - August 24, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0824_Sincock to		and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
		8/24/2016	Reconsideration - draft briefing paper for review.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
133	TVI de Kriigite. par	0,24,2010	Email - August 24, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson	correspondence	1 Tilliary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Second Indian Creek TMDL		and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Reconsideration_Briefing		Reconsideration - draft briefing paper for review. Attachment: Second Indian	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
		8/24/2016	Creek TMDL Reconsideration_Briefing Paper.docx	Attachment	1	l,	
130	i aper.aoex	0, 24, 2010	Creek TWDE Reconsideration_biteting raper.dock	Attaciment	-	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Fmail 2016 0824 Davida		Empil August 24 2016 from C Doy at EDA to 1 Street Street	Email		deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0824_Day to	0/24/2016	Email - August 24, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock. Subject: Second	Email	Duine and	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
197	Sincock.pdf	8/24/2016	Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration - draft briefing paper for review.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Action Memo - Second Reconsideration Decision and Rationale – Nutrient			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
	Latin Coul Cou		Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the Indian Creek Watershed,			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Indian Creek Second	0 (0 = (0 5 : -	Pennsylvania, Established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	l		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
198	Reconsideration DR_AM.docx	8/25/2016	on June 30, 2008.	Action Memo		pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - August 25, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, E.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email_2016_0825_Richardson to			Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
199	Sincock.pdf	8/25/2016	Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration - draft briefing paper for review.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
						Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
			Email - August 31, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to J. Capacasa, K. Scalia, W.			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Email 2016_0831_Sincock to		Richardson, E. MacKnight, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Briefing Paper for	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
200	Capacasa.pdf	8/31/2016	Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
			Email - August 31, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to J. Capacasa, K. Scalia, W.			Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
ı	Second Indian Creek TMDL		Richardson, E. MacKnight, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Briefing Paper for			deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
	Jecona maian creek mide						
	Reconsideration_Briefing		Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration. Attachment: Second	Email	Attachment	predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 15 of 16

## FOIA Request EPA-R3-2017-000718 - Index of Withheld and Partial Release Records

3	•	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
							Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
							deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
		Email_2016_0831_Sincock to		Email - August 31, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to N. Ides at EPA. Subject: RE:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
ŀ	202	ldes.pdf	8/31/2016	Salient/Upcoming Decisions?	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	
I							Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing	
							deliberations and/or conclusions which are	
		Email_2016_0831_Capacasa to		Email - August 31, 2016 from J. Capacasa at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject:	Email		predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure	
Ŀ	203	Sincock.pdf	8/31/2016	RE: Briefing Paper for Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration.	Correspondence	Primary	pursuant to Exemption 5.	

10/5/2017 5:00 PM Page 16 of 16